

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,192	08/25/2003	Douglas M. Dygert	A8850	1036	
23373	7590 09/21/2006		EXAM	INER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E		
SUITE 800	EDVINITITY ENOUGH	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		1732		
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				4		
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/647,192	DYGERT, DOUGLAS	M.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Suzanne E. McDowell	1732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	s <b>-</b>		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSION OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this commur DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters	· ·	rits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or elements.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stag	e		
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) □ I <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub> I <sub>3</sub> I <sub>4</sub>	mon (PTO 442)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/647,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 534.
  - II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a product, classified in class 215, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by extruding a parison instead of by providing a preform.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,192

Art Unit: 1732

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant

Page 3

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be

reached on MWF 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina

Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either

Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system; contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Suzanne E. McDowell Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

**SEM** 

September 18, 2006